Algorithms, Data Structures, and Problem **Solving**

Masoumeh Taromirad

Hamlstad University

DA4002, Fall 2016

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 1 이익어

Motivating Example: Tower of Hanoi

- move the stack of disks to another pole
- you may only place smaller disks on larger ones

André Karwath http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Tower_of_Hanoi_4.gif

Tower of Hanoi Tower of Hanoi**setup goal** Motivating Example:

– p.2/36

Forming **@Weer** OT **setup goal** The game consider of deolishing the tower level Unsting the tower lever
I level, and reconstructivating Example: g it in a neighboring ace, conforming to the iles given. **ghboring
Jower of Hanoi**

 $A = p \cdot 2/36$

– p.2/36 *step 1:*

move **N-1** disks

from **A** to **B**

– p.2/36

A B C

Tower of Hanoi

Tower of Hanoi Tower of Hanoi**setup goal** Motivating Example:

– p.2/36

smaller sub-problem: use recursion to solve it, reapplying the same method

Today's Lecture

- *• detecting container types from code*
- **•** exercise discussion
- *• estimating runtimes with Big-Oh*
- *• when is Big-Oh useful?*
- *•* Divide & Conquer
	- *• maximum subsequence*
	- closest pair of points
- memoization
	- *• memoization for Fibonacci sequence*
	- *•* outlook on Dynamic Programming

exercise discussion *F*(*N*) *F*(*N*⁰) *F*(*N*⁰)

- A: **T(N) = 150 N log N**
- $B: T(N) = N*N$
- ▶ program \underline{A} better for large N (but not "always faster"!) \overline{r} arge
- ‣ program B better for small N *(but not "always faster"!) F*(*N*⁰ or sm *F*(*N*)

T

‣ cannot answer about average performance *T* ✓*T*⁰ ◆

$$
T(N) = cF(N) \brace F(N') \Leftrightarrow T \overline{F(N)} = \frac{T'}{F(N')} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} T' &= \frac{F(N')}{F(N)}T \\ N' &= F^{-1}\left(\frac{T'}{T}F(N)\right) \end{cases}
$$

Estimating Runtimes with Big-Oh

another good exam question...

When is Big-Oh Useful?

formulate advantages and disadvantages

Divide & Conquer problem-solving methodology

To solve a problem with the Divide and Conquer methodology, do the following.

- 1. Identify (significantly) smaller sub-problems of the same type as the original problem.
- 2. Solve each sub-problem using recursion, terminating at trivially small sub-problems.
- 3. Combine sub-solutions into overall solution.

Divide & Conquer problem-solving methodology

- D&C is a simple idea...
	- ...and also more of an art than a science.
- We look at some examples today.
- Beware of a common pitfall: overlapping subproblems.
	- easy answer: memoization *(today)*
	- better answer: Dynamic Programming *(next week)*

Example: Max Subsequence Sum x *s* y (31) x (31 **Dle: Max Subsequence Sum**

given a sequence of integers *x* log *N* (31)

$$
\{A_i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}
$$

find the subsequence (from *i* to *j*) $\frac{1}{2}$ and subsequence $\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$, $\left(\frac{1}{$, เบ j
ท *j*

$$
\sum_{k=i}^{j} A_k
$$

(the sum is zero if all integers are negative)

Example: Max Subsequence Sum

```
maxSum = 0;for (ii = 0; ii < length; ++ii) {
 for (jj = i i; jj < length; ++jj)sum = 0;
  for (kk = ii; kk \le jj; ++kk)
   sum += aa[kk];
   }
   if (sum > maxSum) {
   maxSum = sum;first = ii;
   last = jj; }
                           }
                   O(N³)}
```
Example: Max Subsequence Sum

}

Example: Max Subsequence Sum

```
maxSum = 0;for (ii = 0; ii < length; ++ii) {
 sum = 0 ;
 for (jj = i i; jj < length; ++jj)sum += aa[jj];
   if (sum > maxSum) {
   maxSum = sum;first = ii;
   last = jj; }
 }
}
              O(N²)
```
Group Activity

Divide and Conquer the Max Subsequence

apply D&C to a specific problem

Max Subsequence Revisited

```
maxSum = 0;for (ii = 0; ii < length; ++ii) {
 sum = 0;for (ij = i'i; jj < length; ++jj) {
  sum += aa[j];
   if (sum > maxSum) {
   maxSum = sum;first = ii;
   last = jj; }
                          we can eliminate this loop!
```
}

}

Max Subsequence Revisited

never contains negatives.

```
maxSum = 0;sum = 0;for (ii=0, j=0; jj < length; ++jj ) {
 sum += aa[jj];
  if (sum > maxSum) {
  maxSum = sum;first = ii;
  last = jj; }
  else if (sum < 0) {
  \pm i = jj + 1;sum = 0;
 }
                           The max subsequence never 
                           starts with a negative-sum 
                           sub-subsequence.
                           So we only scan for its end, 
                           resetting the beginning so it
```
}

Closest Pair of Points

Subproblem Overlap

• Divide & Conquer *(and recursion generally)* is great, but it can also go wrong.

$$
F(0) = F(1) = 1
$$

$$
F(n \ge 2) = F(n-2) + F(n-1)
$$

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, ...

```
int fibRec(int nn) {
if (2 > nn) {
   return 1;
 }
return fibRec(nn - 2) + fibRec(nn - 1);}
```

```
int fibRec(int nn) {
 if (2 > nn) {
    return 1;
 }
 \text{return } \left[ \text{fibRec}(\text{nn} - 2) \right] + \left[ \text{fibRec}(\text{nn} - 1) \right];solve smaller subproblems
```

```
will call F(n-4) and F(n-3) will call F(n-3) and F(n-2)int fibRec(int nn) {
   if (2 > nn) {
      return 1;
    }
    return fibRec(nn - 2) + fibRec(nn - 1);}
```

```
int fibRec(int nn) {
    if (2 > nn) {
      return 1;
    }
    return fibRec(\text{nn} - 2) + fibRec(\text{nn} - 1);}
will call F(n-4) and F(n-3) will call F(n-3) and F(n-2)duplicate!
                         duplicate!
```


will call $F(n-4)$ and $F(n-3)$

will call $F(n-3)$ and $F(n-2)$

will call $F(n-5)$ and $F(n-4)$ will call $F(n-4)$ and $F(n-3)$

• Execution trace for $F(n=6)$

fibRec(6) fibRec(4) fibRec(2) fibRec(0) fibRec(1) fibRec(3) fibRec(1) fibRec(2) fibRec(0) fibRec(1) fibRec(5) fibRec(3) fibRec(1) fibRec(2) fibRec(0) fibRec(1) fibRec(4) fibRec(2) fibRec(0) fibRec(1)

) than the larger problem; when they are a multiplicative factor smaller the problem is not smaller the problem is no Subproblem Overlap Example: Fibonacci Numbers

The dependency structure is not a tree, but a graph.

) than the larger problem; when they are a multiplicative factor smaller they are a multiplicative factor smaller the problem is no Subproblem Overlap Example: Fibonacci Numbers

For example: there are four paths from F(5) to F(1) and **fibRec** *walks each of them.*

Memoization *So, how do we solve this problem?*

"[...] avoid repeating the calculation of results for previously processed inputs." (Wikipedia)

1. store new sub-solutions in a lookup table 2. reuse old sub-solutions when available

```
Memo * memo create ();
void memo destroy (Memo * memo);
int memo get (Memo * memo, int ii);
void memo_set (Memo * memo, int ii, int fi);
```

```
int fibMemo (int ii) {
   static Memo * memo = NULL;
   int fi;
  if (NULL == memo) {
    memo = memo create ();
   }
  fi = \text{memo} \text{ get } ( \text{memo}, \text{ ii} );
   if (0 < fi) {
      return fi;
   }
  fi = <b>fibMemo</b> (<i>ii-1</i>) + <b>fibMemo</b> (<i>ii-2</i>);
   memo_set (memo, ii, fi);
   return fi;
}
```
Fibonacci with Memoization

• **execution** trace for F(n=6)

fibRecMemo: compute(6)... fibRecMemo: compute(4)... fibRecMemo: compute(2)... fibRecMemo: lookup[0] = 1 fibRecMemo: lookup[1] = 1 fibRecMemo: compute(3)... fibRecMemo: lookup[1] = 1 fibRecMemo: lookup[2] = 2 fibRecMemo: compute(5)... fibRecMemo: lookup[3] = 3 fibRecMemo: lookup[4] = 5

Group Activity

Detecting Container Types from Code

this makes a good exam question...

Group Activity

Memoization for Fibonacci

implement according to a given interface

Outlook on Dynamic Programming: Memoization is not the only way...

```
int fibIter(int nn) {
 int v1 = 1;
 int v2 = 1;
 int vv = 1;
 for (int ii = 2; ii \le nn; ++ii) {
  vv = v1 + v2;
  v2 = v1;
  v1 = vv;
  }
  return vv;
}
```

```
int fibIter(int nn) {
 int v1 = 1;
 int v2 = 1;
 int vv = 1;
 for (int ii = 2;
    ii \leq nn; ++ii) {
 vv = v1 + v2;v2 = v1;
 v1 = vv; }
  return vv;
}
```

```
Memo * memo create ();
void memo_destroy (Memo * memo);<br>int memo qet (Memo * memo, int
       memo get (Memo * memo, int ii);
void memo set (Memo * memo, int ii, int fi);
int fibMemo (int ii) {
  static Memo * memo = memo create ();
   int fi;
  fi = memo qet (ii);
  if (0 < f_i) {
     return fi;
 }
  fi = fibMemo (ii-1) + fibMemo (ii-2);memo set (ii, fi);
   return fi;
}
```
How do these alternatives compare?

```
int fibIter(int nn) {
 int v1 = 1;
 int v2 = 1;
 int vv = 1;
 for (int ii = 2;
     i \neq 0 ii \leq m; ++i i) {
  vv = v1 + v2;v2 = v1;v1 = vv; }
  return vv;
}
```

```
Memo * memo create ();
void memo destroy (Memo * memo);
int memo get (Memo * memo, int ii);
void memo set (Memo * memo, int ii, int fi);
int fibMemo (int ii) {
  static Memo * memo = memo create ();
   int fi;
  fi = memo get (ii);
  if (0 < f_i) {
     return fi;
 }
  fi = fibMemo (ii-1) + fibMemo (ii-2);memo set (ii, fi);
   return fi;
}
```
this also takes effort to implement

Dynamic Programming is a methodology to help find this kind of solution.

Take-Home Message

Divide and Conquer:

- 1. identify sub-problems
- 2. solve sub-problems recursively
- 3. combine sub-solutions

Memoization

- avoid duplicate computation due to sub-problem overlap by storing subsolutions in a lookup table
- "shallow" but practical solution

Function Call Mechanism

A quick look into implementation

```
int cumul (int val) {
i0
i1 if (1 \ge u1) {
 return 1;
i2
 }
i3
 return val +
i4
         cumul(val-1);
}
i6
/* ...later... */
i7
int val =
i8
             cumul(2);
i5
i9
       instruction pointer: i9
            stack pointer: s0
```

```
instruction pointer: i9
             stack pointer: s1
                                      argument val 2
                                      return value | ?
                                      return address | i8
                                   s1
i0
int cumul (int val) {
i1
 if (1 >= val) {
i2
 return 1;
i3
 }
i4
 return val +
i5
i6
}
i7
/* ...later... */
i8
int val =
i9
           cumul(val-1);
               cumul(2);
```

```
instruction pointer: i1
             stack pointer: s1
                                      argument val 2
                                      return value | ?
                                      return address | i8
                                  s1
i0
int cumul (int val) {
i1
 if (1 >= val) {
i2
 return 1;
i3
 }
i4
 return val +
i5
i6
}
i7
/* ...later... */
i8
int val =
i9
           cumul(val-1);
               cumul(2);
```


```
instruction pointer: i5
             stack pointer: s1
                                      argument val 2
                                      return value | ?
                                      return address | i8
                                  s1
i0
int cumul (int val) {
i1
 if (1 >= val) {
i2
 return 1;
i3
 }
i4
 return val +
i5
i6
}
i7
/* ...later... */
i8
int val =
i9
            cumul(val-1);
               cumul(2);
```


```
instruction pointer: i1
             stack pointer: s2
                                      argument val 2
                                       return value | ?
                                       return address | i8
                                   s1
i0
int cumul (int val) {
i1
 if (1 >= val) {
i2
 return 1;
i3
 }
i4
 return val +
i5
i6
}
i7
/* ...later... */
i8
int val =
i9
                                      argument val 1
                                       return value | ?
                                       return address | i4
                                   s2
           cumul(val-1);
               cumul(2);
```



```
int cumul (int val) {
i0
i1 if (1 \ge u1) {
 return 1;
i2
 }
i3
 return val +
i4
           cumul(val-1);
}
i6
/* ...later... */
i7
int val =
i8
               cumul(2);
        instruction pointer: i4
             stack pointer: s1
                                      argument val 2
                                      return value | ?
                                      return address | i8
                                  s1
i5
i9
                                     argument val 1
                                      return value
                                      return address | i4
                    1 s2
```

```
int cumul (int val) {
i0
i1 if (1 \ge u1) {
 return 1;
i2
 }
i3
 return val +
i4
           cumul-wal-
}
i6
/* ...later... */
i7
int val =
i8
               cumul(2);
        instruction pointer: i4
             stack pointer: s1
                                      argument val 2
                                      return value | ?
                                      return address | i8
                                   s1
i5
i9
                    1
```



```
int cumul (int val) {
i0
i1 if (1 \ge u1) {
 return 1;
i2
 }
i3
 return val +
i4
          cumul(val-1);
}
i6
/* ...later... */
i7
int val =
i8
3 cumul(2)
       instruction pointer: i8
             stack pointer: s0
                                    argument val 2
                                     return value 3
                                     return address | i8
                                 s1
i5
i9
```

```
int cumul (int val) {
i0
i1 if (1 \ge u1) {
 return 1;
i2
 }
i3
 return val +
i4
          cumul(val-1);
}
i6
/* ...later... */
i7
int val =
i8
3eumul (2)
        instruction pointer: i8
             stack pointer: s0
i5
i9
```
- arguments are not modified in the calling function *the passed values live in the previous stack frame*
- arguments are local variables in the called function *they live in the current stack frame*
- functions can "call themselves" without interference *the stack keeps track of suspended computations*