Path Testing

Mohammad Mousavi

Halmstad University, Sweden

http://ceres.hh.se/mediawiki/DIT085

Testing and Verification (DIT085),
Chalmers and GU, February 13, 2015

Functional Testing: Pros and Cons

Pros:

- Straightforward test-case generation
- Based on specification (early test-case generation)

Cons:

- No use of program information
- Gaps and redundancies

Structural Testing

Idea

- Derive structural abstractions from programs
  Example: flow graphs
- Use them to measure the adequacy of the test-set

Structural Testing (Example from the 1st Lecture)

Spec.: input: an integer x $[1..2^{16}]$
output: x incremented by two, if x is less than 50,
x decremented by one, if x is greater than 50, and
50, otherwise.

```
if x < 50 then
  x = x + 2;
end if
if x > 50 then
  x = x - 1;
end if
return x
```

Structural Testing

```
if x < 50 then
   x = x + 2;
end if
if x > 50 then
   x = x - 1;
end if
return x
```

Adequacy criterion: test until all statements are at least executed once (subject of today's lecture: DD-path coverage).

Input	Output	Pass/Fail
3222	3221	P
30	32	P

Flow Graphs

- Nodes: program statements
- Edges: $p \to q$ iff q may execute immediately after p

From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements

- Sequential composition: $prog_0; prog_1$,



From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements

- Sequential composition: $prog_0; prog_1$,
- Conditional: $if(cond)then\ prog_0\ else\ prog_1\ fi$,



From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements

- Sequential composition: $prog_0; prog_1$,
- Conditional: $if\,(cond)then\ prog_0\ else\ prog_1\ fi$,
- While loop: $while(cond)do\ prog\ endwhile$,



From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements

- Sequential composition:
  $prog_0; prog_1$,
- Conditional:
  $if(cond)then\ prog_0\ else\ prog_1\ fi$,
- While loop:
  $while(cond)do\ prog\ endwhile$,
- Repeat-until loop:
  $repeat\ prog\ until(cond)$,



Test Adequacy Criteria

The test-set covers, in the flow graph,

1. all nodes (statement coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The test-set covers, in the flow graph,

1. all nodes (statement coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The test-set covers, in the flow graph,

1. all nodes (statement coverage)
2. all edges (DD-path coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The test-set covers, in the flow graph,

1. all nodes (statement coverage)
2. all edges (DD-path coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The test-set covers, in the flow graph,

1. all nodes (statement coverage)
2. all edges (DD-path coverage)
3. all prime paths (single-loop coverage)
4. all edges + all combinations of data-flow dependent edges (dependent pairs coverage: next lecture)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The test-set covers, in the flow graph,

1. all nodes (statement coverage)
2. all edges (DD-path coverage)
3. all prime paths (single-loop coverage)
4. all edges + all combinations of data-flow dependent edges (dependent pairs coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The test-set covers, in the flow graph,

1. all nodes (statement coverage)
2. all edges (DD-path coverage)
3. all prime paths (single-loop coverage)
4. all edges + all combinations of data-flow dependent edges (dependent pairs coverage)
5. all edges + all combinations of condition edges (multiple-condition coverage)
6. all paths (full path coverage)



Finite Feasibility

An adequacy criteria should be satisfiable by some finite test-set.

Question: Which of the aforementioned criteria are finitely feasible?

Finite Feasibility

An adequacy criteria should be satisfiable by some finite test-set.



Finite Feasibility

An adequacy criteria should be satisfiable by some finite test-set.

Solution: Adding feasibility:

1. all reachable nodes (feasible statement coverage)
2. all reachable edges (feasible DD-path coverage)
3. all reachable ...

Problem solved? No, checking reachability is undecidable in general!



Chain: Definition

A chain $n_0, \ldots, n_i$, with $0 \leq i$, is a list of nodes s.t.

1. $n_j \to n_{j+1}$ for each $j < i$,
2. $indeg(n_j) = outdeg(n_j) = 1$, for each $0 \leq j \leq i$,

A chain $n_0, \ldots, n_i$ is maximal when neither $n', n_0, \ldots, n_i$ nor $n_0, \ldots, n_i, n'$ (for any n') are chains. Each node is a member of at most one maximal chain.



DD-Path: Definition

A DD-Path is a set of nodes satisfying one of the following:

1. $\{n\}$ s.t. $indeg(n) = 0$ (staring node) or $outdeg(n) = 0$ (terminal node),
2. $\{n\}$ s.t. $outdeg(n) \geq 2$ or $indeg(n) \geq 2$ (branch or merge nodes)
3. $\{n_0, \ldots, n_i\}$ with $i \geq 0$ s.t. $n_0 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow n_i$ is a maximal chain

Property: each node belongs to precisely one DD-path

DD-Path: Simplified Definition

A DD-Path is a set of nodes satisfying one of the following:

1. $\{n\}$ s.t. $indeg(n) \neq 1$ or $outdeg(n) \neq 1$,
2. $\{n_0, \ldots, n_i\}$ with $i \geq 0$ s.t. $n_0 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow n_i$ is a maximal chain



DD-Path: Simplified Definition

A DD-Path is a set of nodes satisfying one of the following:

1. $\{n\}$ s.t. $indeg(n) \neq 1$ or $outdeg(n) \neq 1$,
2. $\{n_0, \ldots, n_i\}$ with $i \geq 0$ s.t. $indeg(n_j) = outdeg(n_j) = 1$ and $n_0 \to \ldots \to n_i$ is a maximal chain



DD-Path Graph

In a DD-Path graph:

1. nodes: DD-Paths as
2. edges: $\{n_i \mid i \in I\} \rightarrow \{m_j \mid j \in J\}$ when $\exists_{i' \in I, j' \in J}$ s.t. $n_{i'} \rightarrow m_{j'}$.



DD-Path Coverage

A test-set is adequate when for each node or edge in the DD-path graph, there exists a test-case covering it.

This is equivalent to edge coverage, but requires less checks.

This subsumes node coverage.



DD-Path Testing: Complete?

```
if x < 50 then
   x = x + 2;
end if
if x > 50 then
   x = x - 1;
end if
return x
```

Input	Output	Pass/Fail
3222	3221	P
30	32	P
49	51	F
50	50	P

DD-Path: Complete?

Solutions:

1. Use stronger adequacy criteria: prime paths, dependent pairs testing, multiple condition coverage testing
2. Problems: more test-sets; even sometimes: not that many more faults detected
3. Use more switch statements instead of sequential conditions.

DD-Path Testing

Pros:

1. DD-paths instead of statements: more efficient coverage measuring
2. DD-paths coverage: a practical measure of test adequacy
3. implemented in many tools

Cons:

1. infeasible paths must be tested!
2. some important paths left untested
3. no test-case generation technique
4. main reason: ignoring specification and data-dependencies: dependent pairs testing (see the next lecture)

Simple Path: Definition

A simple path $n_0, \ldots, n_t$, with $0 \leq t$, is a list of nodes s.t.

1. $n_j \rightarrow n_{j+1}$ for each $j < t$,
2. for each $0 \leq i < j \leq i$, $n_i \neq n_j$ or $(n_i = n_0$ and $n_j = n_t)$

Informally: a simple path visits a node at most once, except that the start and the ending node may be the same.



Simple Path: Definition

A simple path $n_0, \ldots, n_i$, with $0 \leq i$, is a list of nodes s.t.

1. $n_j \rightarrow n_{j+1}$ for each $j < i$,
2. for each $0 < i < j \leq i$, $n_i \neq n_j$

Informally: a simple path visits a node at most once, except that the start and the ending node may be the same.



Prime Path: Definition

A prime path is:

- a simple path that
- does not appear as a proper sub-path of any other simple path.

Informally: a prime path is a complete path from start to end, or a complete and simple iteration of a loop (infeasibility issue set aside)



Prime Path: Definition

A prime path is:

- a simple path that
- does not appear as a proper sub-path of any other simple path.

Informally: a prime path is a complete path from start to end, or a complete and simple iteration of a loop



Prime Path Coverage

A test set is adequate if for each prime path, there is a test case covering it (as a sub-path).

Informally: all complete simple paths and up to one iteration of each loop

Variants with tours, detours and side-trips



Prime Path Coverage: Exercise

Propose a set of test cases that is adequate for prime path coverage.



Prime Path Coverage: Solution

Prime paths



Prime Path Coverage: Solution

Prime paths