

Path Testing

Mohammad Mousavi

Halmstad University, Sweden

http://ceres.hh.se/mediawiki/DT8021_Ed_2015

Testing and Verification of Embedded Systems (DT8021),
March 30, 2015

Functional Testing: Pros and Cons

Pros:

- ▶ Straightforward test-case generation
- ▶ Based on specification (early test-case generation)

Cons:

- ▶ No use of program information
- ▶ Gaps and redundancies

Structural Testing

Idea

- ▶ Derive **structural abstractions** from programs
Example: **flow graphs**
- ▶ Use them to **measure** the **adequacy** of the test-set

Structural Testing (Example from the 1st Lecture)

Spec.: input: an integer x [$1..2^{16}$]

output: x incremented by two, if x is less than 50,
 x decremented by one, if x is greater than 50, and
50, otherwise.

```
if  $x < 50$  then
```

```
   $x = x + 2;$ 
```

```
end if
```

```
if  $x > 50$  then
```

```
   $x = x - 1;$ 
```

```
end if
```

```
return  $x$ 
```

Structural Testing

```
if x < 50 then  
  x = x + 2;  
end if  
if x > 50 then  
  x = x - 1;  
end if  
return x
```

Adequacy criterion: test until all statements are at least executed once (subject of today's lecture: **DD-path coverage**).

Input	Output	Pass/Fail
3222	3221	P
30	32	P


Flow Graphs

- ▶ Nodes: program statements
- ▶ Edges: $p \rightarrow q$ iff q may execute immediately after p

From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements


- ▶ Sequential composition:
prog₀; prog₁,



From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements


- ▶ Sequential composition:
 $prog_0; prog_1,$
- ▶ Conditional:
 $if(cond) then prog_0 else prog_1 fi,$



From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements


- ▶ Sequential composition:
prog₀; prog₁,
- ▶ Conditional:
if(cond) then prog₀ else prog₁ fi,
- ▶ While loop:
while(cond) do prog endwhile,



From Programs to Flow Graphs: Examples

Flow Graph for simple statements


- ▶ Sequential composition:
prog₀; prog₁,
- ▶ Conditional:
if(cond) then prog₀ else prog₁ fi,
- ▶ While loop:
while(cond) do prog endwhile,
- ▶ Repeat-until loop:
repeat prog until(cond),



Test Adequacy Criteria

The **test-set** covers, in the flow graph,


1. **all nodes** (statement coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The **test-set** covers, in the flow graph,


1. **all nodes** (statement coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The **test-set** covers, in the flow graph,


1. **all nodes** (statement coverage)
2. **all edges** (DD-path coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The **test-set** covers, in the flow graph,


1. **all nodes** (statement coverage)
2. **all edges** (DD-path coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The **test-set** covers, in the flow graph,


1. **all nodes** (statement coverage)
2. **all edges** (DD-path coverage)
3. **all prime paths** (single-loop coverage)
4. **all edges** + **all combinations** of data-flow **dependent edges** (dependent pairs coverage: **next lecture**)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The **test-set** covers, in the flow graph,


1. **all nodes** (statement coverage)
2. **all edges** (DD-path coverage)
3. **all prime paths** (single-loop coverage)
4. **all edges** + **all combinations** of data-flow **dependent edges** (dependent pairs coverage)



Test Adequacy Criteria

The **test-set** covers, in the flow graph,

1. **all nodes** (statement coverage)
2. **all edges** (DD-path coverage)
3. **all prime paths** (single-loop coverage)
4. **all edges** + **all combinations** of data-flow **dependent edges** (dependent pairs coverage)
5. **all edges** + **all combinations** of **condition edges** (multiple-condition coverage)
6. **all paths** (full path coverage)




Finite Feasibility

An adequacy criteria should be **satisfiable** by some **finite test-set**.

Question: Which of the aforementioned criteria are finitely feasible?

Finite Feasibility

An adequacy criteria should be satisfiable by some finite test-set.




Finite Feasibility

An adequacy criteria should be satisfiable by some finite test-set.

Solution: Adding **feasibility**:

1. **all reachable nodes** (feasible statement coverage)
2. **all reachable edges** (feasible DD-path coverage)
3. **all reachable ...**

Problem solved? No, checking **reachability** is **undecidable** in general!




Chain: Definition

A chain n_0, \dots, n_i , with $0 \leq i$, is a list of nodes s.t.

1. $n_j \rightarrow n_{j+1}$ for each $j < i$,
2. $\text{indeg}(n_j) = \text{outdeg}(n_j) = 1$, for each $0 \leq j \leq i$,

A chain n_0, \dots, n_i is **maximal** when neither n', n_0, \dots, n_i nor n_0, \dots, n_i, n' (for any n') are chains. Each **node** is a member of **at most one maximal chain**.



DD-Path: Definition

A DD-Path is a set of nodes satisfying one of the following:


1. $\{n\}$ s.t. $indeg(n) = 0$ (starting node) or $outdeg(n) = 0$ (terminal node),
2. $\{n\}$ s.t. $outdeg(n) \geq 2$ or $indeg(n) \geq 2$ (branch or merge nodes)
3. $\{n_0, \dots, n_i\}$ with $i \geq 0$ s.t.
 $n_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n_i$ is a maximal chain

Property: each node belongs to precisely one DD-path

DD-Path: Simplified Definition

A DD-Path is a set of nodes satisfying one of the following:


1. $\{n\}$ s.t. $indeg(n) \neq 1$ or $outdeg(n) \neq 1$,
2. $\{n_0, \dots, n_i\}$ with $i \geq 0$ s.t. $n_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n_i$ is a maximal chain



DD-Path: Simplified Definition

A DD-Path is a set of nodes satisfying one of the following:


1. $\{n\}$ s.t. $indeg(n) \neq 1$ or $outdeg(n) \neq 1$,
2. $\{n_0, \dots, n_i\}$ with $i \geq 0$ s.t. $indeg(n_j) = outdeg(n_j) = 1$ and $n_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n_i$ is a maximal chain



DD-Path Graph

In a DD-Path graph:

1. nodes: DD-Paths as
2. edges: $\{n_i \mid i \in I\} \rightarrow \{m_j \mid j \in J\}$
when $\exists i' \in I, j' \in J$ s.t.
 $n_{i'} \rightarrow m_{j'}$.




DD-Path Coverage

A test-set is adequate when for each **node or edge** in the DD-path graph, there exists a test-case covering it.

This is equivalent to edge coverage, but requires less checks.

This subsumes node coverage.



DD-Path Testing: Complete?

```
if  $x < 50$  then  
   $x = x + 2$ ;  
end if  
if  $x > 50$  then  
   $x = x - 1$ ;  
end if  
return  $x$ 
```

Input	Output	Pass/Fail
3222	3221	P
30	32	P
49	51	F
50	50	P

DD-Path: Complete?

Solutions:

1. Use **stronger adequacy** criteria: prime paths, dependent pairs testing, multiple condition coverage testing
2. Problems: more test-sets; even sometimes: not that many more faults detected
3. Use more **switch** statements instead of sequential conditions.

DD-Path Testing

Pros:

1. DD-paths instead of statements: more **efficient coverage measuring**
2. DD-paths coverage: a practical measure of test **adequacy**
3. **implemented** in many tools

Cons:


1. **infeasible** paths must be tested!
2. some important **paths** left **untested**
3. no **test-case generation** technique
4. main reason: **ignoring specification** and **data**-dependencies: dependent pairs testing (see the next lecture)

Simple Path: Definition

A **simple** path n_0, \dots, n_t , with $0 \leq t$, is a list of nodes s.t.

1. $n_j \rightarrow n_{j+1}$ for each $j < t$,
2. for each $0 \leq i < j \leq t$, $n_i \neq n_j$
or $(n_i = n_0 \text{ and } n_j = n_t)$

Informally: a simple path visits a node **at most once**, except that the start and the ending node may be **the same**.




Simple Path: Definition

A **simple** path n_0, \dots, n_i , with $0 \leq i$, is a list of nodes s.t.

1. $n_j \rightarrow n_{j+1}$ for each $j < i$,
2. for each $0 < i < j \leq i$, $n_i \neq n_j$

Informally: a simple path visits a node **at most once**, except that the start and the ending node may be **the same**.




Prime Path: Definition

A **prime** path is:

- ▶ a **simple** path that
- ▶ does not appear as a **proper sub-path** of any other **simple** path.

Informally: a prime path is a complete path from start to end, or a complete and simple iteration of a loop (infeasibility issue set aside)




Prime Path Coverage

A test set is adequate if for each prime path, there is a test case covering it (as a sub-path).


Informally: all complete simple paths and **up to one** iteration of each loop

Variants with tours, detours and side-trips




Prime Path Coverage: Exercise

Propose a set of test cases that is adequate for prime path coverage.



Prime Path Coverage: Solution

Prime paths



Prime Path Coverage: Solution

Prime paths

